Cumulative Table of Cases Connecticut Appellate Reports Volume 197

(Replaces Prior Cumulative Table)

American Tax Funding, LLC v. Gore	234
denying motion to open. Benitez v. Commissioner of Correction. Habeas corpus; ineffective assistance of counsel; claim that habeas court improperly denied habeas petition; whether petitioner was prejudiced by criminal trial counsel's failure to hire or to consult with defense expert in arson investigation prior to trial.	344
Dept. of Social Services v. Freeman	281
Deutsche Bank National Trust Co. v. Pollard (Memorandum Decision)	901 83
Harris v. Neale	147
JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Assn. v. Syed Foreclosure; motion for summary judgment; judgment of strict foreclosure; claim that trial court improperly granted summary judgment as to liability; claim that there were genuine issues of material fact concerning whether plaintiff bank was holder of note at time it commenced action due to invalid endorsement of note; claim that trial court improperly rejected defendant's first and third special defenses as to damages when granting summary judgment; claim that trial court improperly struck defendant's count of amended counterclaim seeking attorney's fees pursuant to statute (§ 42-150bb) when granting summary judgment as to liability.	129
Lamberton v. Lamberton	240
trial court had notice of challenge to amount of fees awarded by Probate Court. Longbottom v. Longbottom	64
Manson v. Conklin	51

missible pursuant to Weaver v. McKnight, (313 Conn. 393); claim that trial court improperly submitted issue of governmental immunity to jury.	
Merritt Medical Center Owners Corp. v. Gianetti . Foreclosure of statutory (§ 47-258 (m)) liens against medical office units for unpaid common charges; whether vote by plaintiff's executive board to send matters to collection complied with § 47-258 (m), requiring board to vote to commence foreclosure action.	226
Pentland v. Commissioner of Correction (Memorandum Decision)	901
Petrucelli v. Meriden	1
Zoning; municipal blight citation; anti-blight ordinance; claim that trial court abused its discretion in precluding testimony of witnesses; claim that trial court erred in concluding that respondent city did not violate petitioner's due process rights; claim that trial court erred in concluding that the anti-blight ordinance was not unconstitutionally vague; claim that trial court erred in concluding that there was sufficient evidence demonstrating noncompliance with anti-blight ordinance.	•
Pfister v. Madison Beach Hotel, LLC	326
Real property; permanent injunction; whether trial court erred in concluding that zoning restrictions applicable to hotel, which would prohibit it from hosting concerts on its own property, also applied to hotel's ability to host concert series on town park property; whether hotel's permitted use of town park granted hotel possessory interest in park; whether hotel's use of its resources to support and sponsor free concert series, despite commercial nature of such use, transformed park into part of hotel's property, or expanded hotel's use of town park impermissibly; claim that only permissible uses of town park are those which can be shown to have historically occurred prior to adoption of zoning regulations and, therefore, because there was no evidence of concerts having occurred at park at issue, their	
occurrence improperly expanded nonconforming use status applicable to park.	
Purtill v. Cook	22
Summary process; motion to open judgment of default; stay of execution; automatic	
stay; mootness; standing; claim that trial court improperly denied defendant's	
motion to open judgment; claim that trial court improperly dismissed claim of	
exemption from eviction.	
State v. Fredrik H	213
Unlawful restraint in first degree; interfering with emergency call; criminal mischief in third degree; whether evidence was sufficient to support conviction of unlawful restraint in first degree; whether jury reasonably could have inferred that defendant intended to substantially interfere with victim's liberty; whether trial court abused its discretion in admitting evidence of uncharged misconduct.	
State v. Hernandez	257
Assault in first degree; claim that trial court violated defendant's constitutional right to be present at all critical stages of prosecution when it sentenced him in abstentia; whether defendant waived his constitutional right to be present at sentencing by deliberately absenting himself from sentencing proceedings; whether trial court improperly failed to make express finding that defendant waived his right to be present at sentencing; claim that trial court was constitutionally required to advise defendant, prior to sentencing, that sentencing would proceed in his absence if he did not appear. State v. Hollev.	161
	101
Motion to correct illegal sentence; criminal possession of firearm; statutory interpretation; rule of lenity; claim that trial court improperly denied motion to correct illegal sentence; whether trial court properly concluded that defendant's consecutive sentences did not violate constitutional prohibition against double jeopardy; whether trial court properly construed relevant statute ((Rev. to 2013) § 53a-217 (a) (1)) as criminalizing possession of single firearm; whether statute was ambiguous; claim that trial court improperly failed to apply rule of lenity.	202
State v. Holmgren	203
Home invasion; burglary in first degree; sexual assault in third degree; claim that there was insufficient evidence to sustain defendant's conviction of home invasion and burglary in first degree; whether state failed to prove that defendant entered dwelling while victim was present in that dwelling as required by home invasion statute (§ 53a-100aa (a) (1)); whether state failed to prove beyond reasonable doubt that defendant entered victim's apartment with intent to commit crime; whether jury reasonably could have inferred from certain evidence defendant's intent to sexually assault victim; claim that trial court improperly allowed	

state to introduce testimony of police detective regarding statements made by defendant; whether probative value of evidence of bag in defendant's possession outweighed any prejudice caused to defendant by its admission.	5 0
State v. Nusser	76
Larceny in first degree; burglary in third degree; criminal violation of restraining order; subject matter jurisdiction; motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that trial court abused its discretion in denying defendant's motion for presentence confinement credit; claim that defendant's sentence was illegal because it breached plea agreement with state; claim that failure of Department of Correction to implement trial court's revised mittimus resulted in structural error and fundamental unfairness in sentencing process; whether trial court lacked subject matter jurisdiction to hear defendant's motion for presentence	
confinement credit.	
State v. Tinsley	302
Manslaughter in first degree; risk of injury to child; motion to correct illegal sentence;	
claim that trial court improperly concluded that defendant's conviction for man- slaughter in first degree and risk of injury to child did not violate prohibition against double jeopardy; whether legislature authorized multiple punishments under statutes in question.	
Stephenson v. Commissioner of Correction	172
Habeas corpus; larceny in fifth degree; larceny in sixth degree; ineffective assistance of trial counsel; whether habeas court properly dismissed petitioner's amended habeas petition as moot; whether prejudicial collateral consequences exist; whether petitioner's claim that his right to effective assistance of counsel was violated was reviewable.	112
U.S. Bank, National Assn. v. Mamudi	31
Foreclosure; claim that law days were automatically vacated as result of petition for bankruptcy; claim that foreclosure defendants were deprived of right to appeal concerning law days; whether trial court should have rendered judgment dismissing rather than denying motion to reargue.	
World Business Lenders, LLC v. 526-528 North Main Street, LLC	269
Foreclosure; whether guarantor of note was party to foreclosure action; whether guarantor had standing to bring appeal challenging foreclosure judgment; whether final judgment had been rendered by trial court with respect to all counts	
$of\ complaint.$	